ARTICLE IN PR

Experimental and Molecular Pathology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Experimental and Molecular Pathology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/yexmp

SIRT1 is decreased during relapses in patients with multiple sclerosis 1

Cosmin A. Tegla^{b,a}, Philippe Azimzadeh^a, Maria Andrian-Albescu^a, Alvaro Martin^a, Cornelia D. Cudrici^a, Q1 Richard Trippe III^a, Adam Sugarman^a, Hegang Chen^d, Dallas Boodhoo^a, Sonia I. Vlaicu^a, Walter Royal III^{a,e}, Christopher Bever^{a,b,e}, Violeta Rus^c, Horea Rus^{a,b,e,*} 3 Δ

^a Department of Neurology, University of Maryland, School of Medicine, Baltimore. MD. USA 5

^b Research Service, Veterans Administration Maryland Health Care System, Baltimore, MD, USA 6

^c Department of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, University of Maryland, School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

8 ^d Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Maryland, School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA 9

e Veterans Administration Multiple Sclerosis Center of Excellence, Baltimore, MD, USA

ARTICLE INFO

10

11

46 45

47

02

	Article history:
]	Received 19 December 2013
4	Available online xxxx
1	Keywords:
1	SIRT1
ļ	HDAC3
ļ	Multiple sclerosis
	Acetylation
ļ	Biomarker
ļ	Epigenetics
ļ	RGC-32
1	Peripheral blood mononuclear cells

ABSTRACT

SIRT1 is a member of the histone deacetylase (HDAC) class III family of proteins and is an NAD-dependent histone 27 and protein deacetylase. SIRT1 can induce chromatin silencing through the deacetylation of histones and can 28 modulate cell survival by regulating the transcriptional activities. We investigated the expression of SIRT1 in mul- 29 tiple sclerosis (MS) brains and in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) obtained from patients with re- 30 lapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. We found that SIRT1 was expressed by a significant number of cells in 31 both acute and chronic active lesions. We also found that CD4⁺, CD68⁺, oligodendrocytes (OLG), and glial fibrillar 32 acidic protein (GFAP)⁺ cells in MS plaques co-localized with SIRT1. Our results show a statistically significant de- 33 crease in SIRT1 mRNA and protein expression in PBMCs during relapses when compared to the levels in controls 34 and stable MS patients. On the other hand, HDAC3 expression was not significantly changed during relapses in 35 MS patients. SIRT1 expression correlated with that of histone H3 lysine 9 acetylation (H3K9ac) and methylation 36 (H3K9me2), SIRT1 mRNA expression was significantly reduced after RGC-32 silencing, indicating a role for RGC- 37 32 in the regulation of SIRT1 expression. Furthermore, we investigated the role of SIRT1 in the expression of FasL 38 and found a significant increase in FasL expression and apoptosis after inhibition of SIRT1 expression. Our data 39 suggest that SIRT1 may represent a biomarker of relapses and a potential new target for therapeutic intervention 40 in MS. 41

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. 42

Introduction

48 Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a demyelinating disease characterized by chronic inflammation of the central nervous system in which many fac-49tors (genetic and environmental) may act together to influence disease 50susceptibility and progression (Frohman et al., 2006; Keegan and 5152Noseworthy, 2002). While a large body of work has enhanced our understanding of the fundamental nature of MS, basic research into its eti-53 ology, pathophysiology, and treatment faces enormous challenges, and 54

Corresponding author at: University of Maryland, School of Medicine, Department of Neurology, 655 W Baltimore St, BRB 12-016, Baltimore, MD 21201, USA. Fax: +1 410 706 0186.

E-mail address: hrus@umaryland.edu (H. Rus).

0014-4800/\$ - see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yexmp.2013.12.010

this may in part be due to the great variability in the clinical presenta- 55 tion and course of MS (Compston and Coles, 2008; Frohman et al., 56 2006; Keegan and Noseworthy, 2002).

Epigenetic regulators such as histone deacetylases (HDACs) and his- 58 tone acetyltransferases are increasingly being implicated as direct or in- 59 direct components of the regulation of expression of neuronal, immune, 60 and other tissue-specific genes (Koch et al., 2013a; Wang et al., 2013). 61 Post-translational modifications of histone proteins have the ability to 62 affect chromatin structure and regulate gene expression (Koch et al., 63 2013b; Sengupta and Seto, 2004). Recently, the HDAC inhibitor 64 trichostatin A (TSA) was shown to ameliorate the disease course in ex- 65 perimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE). Using microarrays 66 and real time-PCR to assess in vivo spinal cord gene regulation by this 67 HDAC inhibitor, multiple genes were found to be up-regulated by TSA 68 in the spinal cords of EAE mice, including anti-oxidant, neuroprotective, 69 and neuronal differentiation genes (Camelo et al., 2005). In addition, the 70 effect of sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) on EAE has recently been investigated 71 (Nimmagadda et al., 2013). SIRT1 is a member of the HDAC class III fam-72 ily of proteins (Smith et al., 2000). It is an NAD⁺-dependent histone and 73 protein deacetylase (Penberthy and Tsunoda, 2009; Smith et al., 2000) 74 that catalyzes the removal of acetyl groups from a variety of protein 75

Abbreviations: MS, Multiple sclerosis; RR, Relapsing-remitting; HDAC3, Histone deacetylase 3; p-HDAC3, Phosphorylated histone deacetylase 3; SIRT1, Sirtuin 1; p-SIRT1, Phosphorylated sirtuin 1; EAE, Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis; TSA, Trichostatin A; CNS, Central nervous system; NAD, Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; NF-KB, Nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; FOXO, Forkhead box gene; PBMC, Peripheral blood mononuclear cells; FasL, Fas Ligand; RGC-32, Response gene to complement-32; GFAP, Glial fibrillar acidic protein; MBP, Myelin basic protein; LFB, Luxol fast blue; NAGM, Normal-appearing gray matter; NAWM, Normal-appearing white matter; OLG, Oligodendrocyte.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

substrates (Turner, 1998), including histones H1, H3, and H4 (Turner, 76 77 1998; Wang et al., 2011; Zhang and Kraus, 2010). In addition, SIRT1 has been found to promote histone H3K9 methylation, resulting in epi-78 79 genetic gene silencing (Imai et al., 2000; Vaquero et al., 2004, 2007). SIRT1 is involved in the regulation of a number of cellular processes, in-80 cluding transcription, metabolism (Chen et al., 2008; He et al., 2012), 81 DNA repair, and aging (Guarente, 2011). SIRT1 can induce chromatin 82 silencing through the deacetylation of histones (Baur, 2010) and can 83 84 modulate cell survival by regulating the transcriptional activities of 85 p53 (Luo et al., 2000), NF-KB (Yeung et al., 2004), FOXO proteins 86 (Brunet et al., 2004; Motta et al., 2004), and p300 (Bouras et al., 2005). Recently, resveratrol, a SIRT1 activator, was shown to ameliorate 87 the disease course in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis 88 89 (EAE), an animal model of MS (Fonseca-Kelly et al., 2012; Imler and Petro, 2009; Petro, 2011). Studies have shown the ability of resveratrol 90 to trigger apoptosis in activated T cells and also to induce a decrease in 91 spinal cord inflammation during EAE (Singh et al., 2007). Another study 92 93 has demonstrated that resveratrol has immunomodulatory effects, altering the percentage of IL-17-positive T cells in the periphery and 94 central nervous system (CNS) following long-term treatment in the re-95 lapsing-remitting EAE model (Imler and Petro, 2009). In addition, res-96 veratrol was found to be neuroprotective (Shindler et al., 2010), and 97 98 the mechanism for its immunomodulatory and neuroprotective effects involved the activation of SIRT1 (Nimmagadda et al., 2013). Little is 99 known about the changes that occur in SIRT1 expression or in the acet-100 ylation and methylation of histones in the PBMC and T cells from MS pa-101 tients. In addition, the expression of SIRT1 in MS patients has not been 102 103 investigated.

In the present study, we investigated the expression of SIRT1 and 104 HDAC3 in MS patients and compared them to the expression in healthy 105controls. SIRT1 was found to be expressed in MS brains by inflammatory 106 107 cells, OLG, and astrocytes. We also found that SIRT1 levels were signifi-108 cantly reduced in MS patients with relapses as compared to control patients. In addition, an increase in histone H3K9 acetylation was found 109 during relapses in MS patients. We also found that SIRT1 levels in 110 PBMCs were significantly decreased after RGC-32 silencing and that 111 SIRT1 also regulated FasL expression and apoptosis. Decreased expres-112 113 sion of SIRT1 in PBMCs during relapses and might represent a marker of disease activity in patients with MS. 114

115 Materials and methods

116 Brain tissue

117 Frozen brain tissue specimens acquired at autopsy from six patients with a definitive diagnosis of MS were obtained from the Human Brain 118 119 and Spinal Fluid Resource Center, Veterans Affairs West Los Angeles Health Care Center. Active lesions contained abundant infiltrates 120 consisting of T cells and macrophages, with detectable myelin degrada-121 tion products. Inflammation was restricted to the lesion margins in 122chronic active lesions. Regions of normal-appearing white matter 123 124 (NAWM) and normal-appearing gray matter lesions (NAGM) that 125lacked macroscopic or histological evidence of demyelination were also used. The samples were derived from patients between the ages 126of 38 and 51, with a mean age of 47. Four healthy control brain samples 127from patients between the ages of 36 and 72, with a mean age of 49, 128129were obtained from the Cooperative Human Tissue Network, Charlottesville, VA. 130

131 Immunohistochemical staining for SIRT1 and HDAC3

Immunohistochemical staining of brains from MS patients was performed as previously described (Cudrici et al., 2007). The air-dried cryostat brain sections (4–6 μ m) were fixed for 10 min in acetone containing 0.3% H₂O₂ to remove endogenous peroxidase. Tissue sections were blocked for 10 min with 2.5% horse serum, then incubated overnight at 4 °C with rabbit anti-SIRT1 (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA) 137 or mouse monoclonal anti-SIRT1 (Active Motif, Carlsbad) as previously 138 described (Fosbrink et al., 2005). For HDAC3 immunolocalization, we 139 used a mouse monoclonal anti-HDAC3 (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). 140 For phosphor-SIRT1 we have used an antibody targeting serine 47(Cell 141 Signaling) and for phosphor-HDAC3 we used an antibody targeting ser- 142 ine 424 (Assay Biotech, Sunnivale, CA) (Supplementary Table S1). The 143 slides were washed three times for 3 min each with PBS, pH 7.4, and 144 then incubated with biotinylated pan-specific universal antibody 145 (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA), followed by streptavidin/peroxidase 146 complex reagent (Vector Labs). Specific reactions were developed 147 using NovaRED (Vector Labs) as the substrate; slides were then coun- 148 terstained with Harris's hematoxylin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and 149 mounted with permanent mount. For CD4 and CD68 detection, brain 150 cryosections were processed as described above and then incubated 151 with mouse monoclonal anti-CD4 antibody (NovoCastra, Newcastle 152 upon Tyne, U.K.), diluted 1/50, for 2 h at room temperature (RT) or 153 with mouse monoclonal CD68 (Dako, Carpenteria, CA), diluted 1/200, 154 for 1 h at RT. The sections were washed with PBS, pH 7.4, and then 155 incubated for 1 h at RT with HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG 156 (Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA). Specific reactions were 157 again developed using NovaRED. The immunostained samples were 158 analyzed by two independent scientists. 159

Double-staining immunohistochemistry

Frozen sections of the brains from patients with MS were double- 161 stained for SIRT1 and CD3, CD4, CD68, MAB328, or GFAP as previously 162 described (Tegla et al., 2013). Cryosections were initially processed for 163 SIRT1 immunostaining as described above, and the reactions developed 164 with NovaRED. Then slides were incubated with anti-CD4 mouse mono- 165 clonal antibody (NovaCastra), diluted 1/50 or with mouse monoclonal 166 antibody anti-CD68 (Dako) was followed by alkaline phosphatase- 167 conjugated goat anti-mouse (Sigma), diluted 1/400. The reaction was 168 developed using a Vector alkaline phosphatase substrate kit III (Vector 169 Labs). Similar reactions were performed for oligodendrocytes using a 170 monoclonal antibody against oligodendrocytes/myelin (MAB328, 171 Chemicon, Temecula, CA). For the double staining GFAP/SIRT1 the sec- 172 tions were first incubated with mouse monoclonal monoclonal anti-173 GFAP (Dako), diluted 1/1000 ON at 4 °C. The slides were washed several 174 times in PBS and reacted with goat anti-mouse antibody (Santa Cruz 175 Biotech) for 1 h at RT. The slides were then exposed to NovaRED then 176 exposed to the rabbit IgG anti-SIRT1 followed by alkaline phosphatase 177 conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Vector Labs). The reaction was developed 178 using a Vector alkaline phosphatase substrate kit III (Vector Labs). Con- 179 trol sections were prepared by immunostaining without the primary 180 antibody or by using control isotype IgG instead of the primary anti- 181 body. The immunostained slides were independently evaluated by 182 two investigators. 183

MS patients and controls

184

160

We enrolled a total of 29 patients with relapsing–remitting MS 185 (15 patients with stable disease and 14 with relapses). The criteria for 186 inclusion of MS patients in the study were: (i) age 18 to 65 years; 187 (ii) fulfillment of McDonald criteria for definite MS (McDonald et al., 188 2001; Polman et al., 2005); (iii) relapsing–remitting course; (iv) having 189 newly diagnosed MS, or MS not treated with currently used immuno- 190 modulatory drugs (interferon- β or glatiramer acetate) for 3 months 191 prior to study entry; (v) no exacerbations in the 4 weeks before the 192 study; (vi) no iv or po steroids for 4 weeks prior to study enrollment; 193 (vii) no treatment with Tysabri, Gilenya, Tecfidera, mitoxantrone, cyclo- 194 phosphamide, or investigational drugs during the past year; and (viii) a 195 disability score of 0–5.5, as defined by the expanded disability status 196 scale (EDSS) (Kurtzke, 1983). Exclusion criteria for MS patients were: 197 (i) a history of autoimmune disorders, vascular disease, or active acute 198

or chronic infections; (ii) use of antibiotics in the last 30 days; (iii) a his-199 200 tory of intracranial or intraspinal tumor or metabolic myelopathy; or 201 (iv) a history of alcohol or drug abuse. Fifteen healthy, age-, gender-, 202and race-matched healthy controls were also enrolled in the study. Exclusion criteria for controls were: the presence of (i) overt acute or 203chronic disease(s) or (ii) other autoimmune disease(s). 204

Collection of PBMCs, total RNA purification, and cDNA synthesis 205

PBMCs were collected using BD Vacutainer CPT tubes (Becton 206 207Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ). The mononuclear cells were isolated 208from fresh blood as previously described (Tegla et al., 2013). RNA isolation and cell lysate preparation for protein analysis were performed the 209210same day (Niculescu et al., 1997). Total RNA was purified using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Santa Clarita, CA) according to the manufac-211 turer's instructions. RNA (0.5 µg per sample) was mixed with RT buffer, 212 dNTP, and oligo-dT primer (Invitrogen). RNA was denatured by incuba-213 tion at 65 °C for 5 min. The reverse transcriptase (Promega) and RNase 214 inhibitor (Invitrogen) were then added, and the reaction mixture was 215incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. The reaction was terminated by incubating 216 the mixture at 95 °C for 5 min (Tegla et al., 2013). 217

218 Real-time PCR

Real-time PCR was performed using a StepOne real-time PCR system 219 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The primers for the genes investi-220gated were designed and synthesized by IDT (Coralville, IA) (Table 1) 221 222 and used in conjunction with LightCycler FastStart SYBR Green Master (Roche) according to the manufacturer's protocol. As a negative control 223for each real-time PCR assay, the same reaction was performed in the 224absence of cDNA or reverse transcriptase. For each gene, the cycle 225 226threshold (C_T) values were determined in the exponential phase of 227the amplification plot and normalized by subtraction of the C_T value 228for 18S (generating a ΔC_T value). The results were normalized to L13 ribosomal protein. A standard curve was generated using serial dilutions 229of qPCR Reference Total cDNA (Clontech, Mountain View, CA), and the 230normalized mRNA value (NRV) was calculated according to the follow-231 232 ing formula for relative expression of target mRNA: NRV (TarS/L13), where TarS represents the level of mRNA expression of the target 233gene, and L13 corresponds to that of the amplified L13 mRNA. In some 234cases, the -fold change in target gene samples, after normalization 235with the housekeeping gene (18S), was calculated using the 2 236value, where $\Delta\Delta C_{T} = \Delta C_{T}$ (sample) $-\Delta C_{T}$ (control) and ΔCT is the 237 C_{T} value of target gene normalized to the C_{T} value of the housekeeping 238gene (Tegla et al., 2013). 239

240RGC-32 silencing and transfection of cells

Transfection of PBMCs 241

PBMCs were plated in RPMI-10% FBS in 12-well plates 24 h prior to 242viral infection. After 24 h, the medium from the plate wells was re-243244moved and replaced with 1 ml of polybrene/RPMI-10% FBS medium 245mixture per well. Cells were then infected by adding the RGC-32 shRNA lentiviral particles (Santa Cruz Biotech) or control lentiviral par-246ticles (Santa Cruz Biotech) to the culture medium overnight, as previ-247248 ously described (Tegla et al., 2013). The next day, the culture medium 249 was removed and replaced with 1 ml of RPMI-FCS 10%, and after 24 h, the transfected cells were analyzed for the expression of RGC-32, 250SIRT1, and L13 mRNA by real-time PCR as described above. 251

Annexin V Assav 252

PBMCs and Jurkat cells were plated in RPMI-10% FBS in 12-well plates 25324 h prior to Annexin V FITC FACS analysis. Some of the cells were treat-254ed with sirtinol (200 μ M) and the binding of Annexin V-FITC to cells was 255compared with that of cells exposed to vehicle (DMSO) only. Annexin 256257V assay was performed according to manufacturer's instructions (BD Biosciences) and only Annexin V FITC positive, propidium iodide 258 negative cells were used in our evaluations since they are reflecting 259 early apoptosis. 260

Western blotting

Western blotting was performed as previously described (Rus et al., 262 1996a, 1996b). PBMC were washed with PBS, then lysed in a buffer 263 consisting of 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 264 1 mM NaF, 20 mM Na₄P₂O₇, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, 100 mM NaCl, 265 10% glycerol, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM Na₃VO₄ and complete 266 mini protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, 267 IN), which was added just prior to use. Protein concentrations were 268 determined using a BCA protein assay kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL). 269 Lysates (30 µg of protein) were fractionated on 10% gradient SDS- 270 polyacrylamide gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes 271 (Millipore, Bedford, MA). The following primary antibodies were 272 used: rabbit IgG anti H3K9ac (Cell Signaling, Danvers MA) and mouse 273 monoclonal anti-SIRT1 and rabbit IgG anti-H3K9me2 (both from Active 274 Motif Carlsbad, CA). Goat anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse IgG HRP- 275 conjugated Ab (Santa Cruz Biotech., Santa Cruz, CA), as appropriate, 276 was used as a secondary antibody. For detection we used enhanced 277 chemiluminescence (ECL, Pierce). Membranes were stripped using 278 Restore Western Blot Stripping Buffer (Pierce) and reprobed for the ex- 279 pression of β -actin (Rockland Immunochemicals, Rockville, MD). The 280 radiographic band density was measured using UN-SCAN-IT software 281 (Silk Scientific, Orem, UT) and results expressed as ratio to β -actin. 282

Statistical analysis

Comparisons between multiple groups were performed using two- 284 way ANOVA. P values < 0.05 were considered significant. Pearson corre- 285 lation analysis was conducted to examine the association between var- 286 iables. Statistical analysis was performed using SAS software, version 287 9.2. All values are shown as means \pm SEM and are representative of 288 three experiments unless otherwise noted. 289

Results

Immunohistochemical localization of SIRT1 and HDAC3 in MS brain 291

Since effector T cells migrate into the brain at the time of an MS re- 292 lapse (Costantino et al., 2008; Martinez-Pasamar et al., 2013), we inves- 293 tigated the expression of SIRT1 in MS brains in relation to that of T cells 294 and macrophages. We first examined the localization of SIRT1 in 20 295 areas from 8 patients with MS (Table 2). MS brain samples from active 296 lesions contained abundant inflammatory cell infiltrates, consisting of 297 CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells as well macrophages. Acute active lesions 298 contained inflammatory cells throughout the entire lesion, whereas 299

Table 1 Primers used for Real-Time PCR.				
Gene symbol	Primers sequence	Product (bp)	t1.3	
SIRT1	For: 5'-TGGCAAAGGAGCAGATTAGTAG-3'	159	t1.4	
	Rev: 5'-GGCATGTCCCACTATCACTGT-3'		t1.5	
HDAC3	For: 5'-CATGCACCTAGTGTCCAGATTC-3'	182	t1.6	
	Rev: 5'-CACTCTTAAATCTCCACATCGC-3'		t1.7	
RGC-32	For: 5'-AGGAACAGCTTCAGCTTCAG-3'	152	t1.8	
	Rev: 5'-GCTAAAGTTTTGTCAAGATCAGCA-3'		t1.9	
FasL	For: 5'-GCCCATTTAACAGGCAAGTC-3'	110	t1.10	
	Rev: 5'-ATCACAAGGCCACCCTTCTT-3'		t1.1	
L13	For: 5'-CGTGCGTCTGAAGCCTACA-3'	227	t1.15	
	Rev: 5'-GGAGTCCGTGGGTCTTGAG-3'		t1.1;	

Abbreviations used in the table: For, forward primer; Rev, reverse primer; Bp, base pairs; t1.14 RGC-32, response gene to complement 32; FasL, Fas ligand; SIRT1, Sirtuin 1; HDAC3, His- t1.15 tone Deacetylase 3; and L13, ribosomal protein L13. t1.16

C.A. Tegla et al. / Experimental and Molecular Pathology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

261

283

290

Table 2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

C.A. Tegla et al. / Experimental and Molecular Pathology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

t2.1

t2.2 Expression of SIRT1, phospho-SIRT1, HDAC3 and phospho-HDAC3 in MS brain.

Case no. (Age, sex)	Lesion (no.)	Lesion Type	SIRT1		Phospho-SIRT1		HDAC3		Phospho-HDAC3	
			Peri- vascular	Paren- chymal	Peri-vascular	Paren-chymal	Peri-vascular	Paren- chymal	Peri-vascular	Paren-chymal
1	Occipital (3)	Chronic active	++	++	+++	+++	+/++	+	++	++
(53,F)	Parietal (3)	NAWM	++	++	+++	+++	+/++	++	++	++
		NAGM	+	++	++/+++	++/+++	++	+++	+	++
		Chronic active	++	++	++/+++	+++	++	+/++	ND	ND
		NAWM	++	+++	++/+++	++/+++	+/++	+++	+/++	++
		NAGM	++	++	+++	+++	+	++	+/++	++
2	Parietal (3)	Acute	++	+++	ND	ND	+/++	++	ND	ND
(68,M)		NAWM	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	ND	ND
		NAGM	+/++	++	+++	+++	++	++	+	+++/++
3	Parietal (3)	Acute	++	+++	ND	ND	++	++/+++	++	++
(62, M)		NAWM	+++	+++	ND	ND	+	++	++	++
		NAGM	+	++	ND	ND	+	++	++	+++
4	Frontal (3)	Chronic active	+	+/++	+++	+++	ND	ND	ND	ND
(38, F)		NAWM	+	++	+++	+++	+/++	++	ND	ND
		NAGM	+	+	+++	+++	+	+	ND	ND
5	Frontal (2)	Chronic active	+	++	+++	++/+++	+	++	+	++
(51, F)		NAGM	+	+/++	++	++	++	++	ND	ND
6	Parietal (3)	Acute	+/++	+++	+++	+++	+	+	ND	ND
(47, F)		NAWM	+/++	+++	+++	+++	+	+	ND	ND
		NAGM	++	++	+++	+++	+++	++	ND	ND

t2.25 Abbreviations used in the table: F: female, M: male, NAWM: normal appearing white matter, NAGM: Normal appearing gray matter, ND: non-determined, + slightly positive, ++ positive, t2.26 and +++ highly positive.

the inflammation was restricted to the lesion margins in chronic active 300 lesions (Cudrici et al., 2007). We were able to show that SIRT1 was 301 302 expressed in both acute and chronic active lesions (Figs. 1 and 2, Table 2). SIRT1 was also found to be present on cells in both perivascular 303 (Fig. 1A, B) and parenchymal areas (Fig. 1C). The SIRT1 deposition not 304 only was confined to MS plaques but also was present in areas of 04 NAWM and NAGM. In general, the expression of SIRT1 was higher in 306 307 the MS plaques than in NAWM and NAGM (Table 2). SIRT1 was not found to be expressed in normal brain, with the exception of rare 308 neurons in the cortex. Controls for the immunoperoxidase reaction 309 using isotype IgG instead of the primary antibody were all negative 310

(Figs. 1D). We also investigated the expression of HDAC3 in MS brain, 311 since this protein was previously reported to be expressed by PBMCs 312 of MS patients (Zhang et al., 2011). Like SIRT1, HDAC3 was also found 313 to be present in periventricular and parenchymal areas of MS brains 314 (Fig. 2 A, B) (Table 2). The HDAC3 deposition not only was confined to 315 MS plaques but also was present in areas of NAWM and NAGM 316Q5 (Table 2). Expression of HDAC3 was higher in the MS plaques than in 317 the NAWM and NAGM. Since both SIRT1 and HDAC3 are regulated by 318 phosphorylation (Sasaki et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2005) we localized 319 these phosphorylated proteins to MS brains. We found that SIRT1 phos- 320 phorylated (p-SIRT1) at Ser 47 and HDAC3 phosphorylated (p-HDAC3) 321



Fig. 1. Immunohistochemical staining for SIRT1 and phosphorylated SIRT1 (p-SIRT1) in MS brains. SIRT1 and p-SIRT1 were localized in MS brain by immunostaining. (A) Perivascular deposits of SIRT1 on inflammatory cells in MS plaque (arrows). (B) Parenchymal deposits of SIRT1 in normal adjacent gray matter; some positive cells have morphology suggestive of glial cells (arrows). (C) Perivascular deposits of p-SIRT1 on perivascular cells in an MS plaque. P-SIRT1 deposits are localized to the nucleus (arrows). Please note that hematoxylin was not used for counterstaining in this experiment. (D) Control for the immunoperoxidase reaction. A–D. Original magnification, ×400.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

C.A. Tegla et al. / Experimental and Molecular Pathology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



Fig. 2. Immunohistochemical staining for HDAC3 and phosphorylated HDAC3 (p-HDAC3) in MS brains. HDAC3 and p-HDAC3 were localized in MS brain by immunostaining. (A) Perivascular deposits of HDAC3 on inflammatory cells in an MS plaque. (B) Parenchymal deposits of HDAC3, which are localized to the nucleus. Hematoxylin was not used for counterstaining in this experiment. (D) Perivascular deposits of p-HDAC3 on inflammatory cells in normal adjacent white matter. Some positive cells have morphology suggestive of glial cells (arrows) pHDAC3 was localized both in the cytoplasm and in the nucleus. (D) Control for the immunoperoxidase reaction. A–D. Original magnification, ×400.

at Ser 424 were also widely distributed in MS plaques as well as NAGM and NAWM (Fig. 1C, 2C) (Table 2). P-SIRT1 deposits were found only in the nucleus (Fig. 1C) whereas p-HDAC3 was found to be localized both 324 in the cytoplasm and in the nucleus (Fig. 2C). 325



Fig. 3. Co-localization of SIRT1 with cells positive for CD4, CD68, GFAP, and MAB328 in MS brains. A, B. SIRT1-expressing cells were co-localized by double staining. (A) By double-staining, some of the SIRT1-positive cells (red deposits) are seen to be co-localized with CD4 (blue deposits) in an MS plaque (arrows and insert). Not all the SIRT1-positive cells in parenchymal areas express CD4. (B) SIRT1-positive cells (red deposits) are seen to be co-localized with CD68 (blue deposits) in an MS plaque (arrows). (C) SIRT1-positive cells (red deposits) are also co-localized with OLG (blue deposits) in an MS plaque (arrows). (C) SIRT1-positive cells (red deposits) are also co-localized with OLG (blue deposits) in an MS plaque (arrows). (C) SIRT1-positive cells (red deposits) are also co-localized with OLG (blue deposits) in an MS plaque (arrows). (E) SIRT1-positive cells (red deposits) are also co-localized with GLG have nuclear deposits of SIRT1. (D) SIRT1-positive cells (blue deposits) are co-localized with GFAP (red deposits) in an MS plaque (arrows). (E) Staining of the same area as in A–D for myelin/OLG using MAB328 shows significant demyelination in an MS plaque. (F) Control for the immunoperoxidase reaction. Original magnification: A–D (×400); E (×100); Inserts, (×1000). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

C.A. Tegla et al. / Experimental and Molecular Pathology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

Co-localization of SIRT1 with CD4-, CD68-, MAB328-, and GFAP-positive 326 327 cells

328 To further concentrate our efforts on investigating whether CD4and CD68-positive cells also express SIRT1, we carried out double-329 labeling experiments using specific antibodies. We discovered that 330 some of the SIRT1 deposits co-localized with CD4⁺ cells (Fig. 3A). We 331 also found that some of the CD68⁺ cells in MS plaques co-localized 332 333 with SIRT1 (Fig. 3B). In addition, SIRT1 was present on CD68 ⁺ cells 334 that had morphology suggestive of glial cells (data not shown). In addition to CD4- and CD68-positive cells, MAB328 and GFAP-positive cells 335 also co-localized with SIRT1 (Fig. 3C, D), indicating that OLG and astro-336 cytes in MS brains also express SIRT1. Immunoperoxidase reaction con-337 338 trols by replacing primary antibodies with PBS were negative (Fig. 3F).

Expression of SIRT1 and HDAC3 in PBMCs of RR MS patients and controls 339

We next examined the expression of SIRT1 and HDAC3 in 340 unstimulated PBMCs in relation to disease activity. Expression of 341 SIRT1, HDAC3, and L13 (a housekeeping gene) mRNA was measured 342

by real-time PCR. MS samples were divided into those from stable pe- 343 riods in which no clinical activity was present and those from periods 344 of relapse in which clinical activity was present and had been detected 345 by a neurologist. A statistically significant decrease in SIRT1 mRNA was 346 seen in patients with relapses when compared to controls (p < 0.04). 347 Stable relapsing-remitting MS patients had levels of SIRT1 mRNA ex- 348 pression that were similar to those of control subjects (Fig. 4A). Also, 349 HDAC3 mRNA levels were not significantly changed in MS patients 350 when compared to controls (Fig. 4B). SIRT1 protein expression was de- 351 termined by Western blotting, and levels of SIRT1 protein were also 352 found to be significantly decreased in patients with relapses when com- 353 pared to stable MS patients (p < 0.01) and controls (p < 0.02) (Fig. 3C). 354 All these data indicate a significant decrease in SIRT1 levels during 355 relapses. 356

Expression profile of histone H3K9ac and H3K9me2 in MS patients

357 Acetvlation and methylation of histone H3 at lysine 9 were assessed 358 by Western blotting using specific antibodies. Statistically significant 359 higher levels of H3K9ac were found during relapses (p < 0.05). A reduc- 360 tion in H3K9 me2 was observed in the PBMCs of stable MS patients 361



Fig. 4. Expression of SIRT1 and HDAC3 in MS patients and controls. The expression of SIRT1 and HDAC3 mRNA was measured by real-time PCR and expressed as a ratio to L13. SIRT1 protein was measured by Western blotting. (A) A statistically significant decrease in SIRT1 was found in patients with relapses when compared to controls (p < 0.04). (B) Levels of HDAC3 mRNA in MS patients were similar to those in controls. (C) SIRT1 protein expression was significantly decreased in the MS patients with relapses when compared to both stable MS patients and controls.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

C.A. Tegla et al. / Experimental and Molecular Pathology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

when compared to controls, but this difference was not statistically significant. SIRT1 protein levels were positively correlated with expression of H3K9me2 (R = 0.716, p = 0.0008) and of H3K9ac (R = 0.544, p = 0.0196) (Fig. 5). These changes are in agreement with the described role of SIRT1 in H3K9 acetylation, with increased acetylation a consequence of the reduced levels of SIRT1 during relapses. SIRT1 is a known regulator of H3K9 methylation and can induce both an increase

in methylation and gene silencing (Vaquero et al., 2004, 2007).

370 Effect of RGC-32 silencing on SIRT1 expression

We have previously shown that SIRT1 expression is regulated by 371 RGC-32 in the SW480 tumor cell line (Vlaicu et al., 2010). To investigate 372 whether RGC-32 is also required for the expression of SIRT1 mRNA in 373 PBMCs, we used shRNAs targeting RGC-32 to silence its expression. 374 PBMCs were transfected with RGC-32 shRNA lentivirus and then tested 375 by real time-PCR to verify their effectiveness in blocking the endoge-376 nous expression of RGC-32 mRNA. We confirmed that RGC-32 shRNA 377 effectively decreased mRNA RGC-32 expression (by 72%) when com-378 pared to cells transfected with control shRNA (shCTR) (Fig. 6A). We 379 then examined the ability of RGC-32 shRNA to block SIRT1 mRNA ex-380 381 pression. As shown in Fig. 6 B, SIRT1 expression was reduced by 50% after RGC-32 silencing, indicating an important role for RGC-32 in 382 SIRT1 expression. These data are in agreement with the reported low 383 levels of RGC-32 in MS patients with relapses (Tegla et al., 2013). 384



Fig. 6. SIRT1 expression is mediated through RGC-32. PBMCs from patients with stable MS were transfected with lentivirus RGC-32 shRNA or shCTR for 48 h. The expression of RGC-32 and SIRT1 mRNA was then determined using real-time PCR. (A.) RGC-32 shRNA treatment effectively decreased mRNA RGC-32 expression by 78% when compared to shRNA control (shCTR)-transfected cells. (B) SIRT1 mRNA expression was reduced by 50% (p = 0.01), indicating an important role for RGC-32 in mediating SIRT1 mRNA expression.

Effect of SIRT1 inhibition on apoptosis and FasL expression

385

We next investigated the effect of sirtinol on apoptosis in the Jurkat $_{386}$ cell line, CD4⁺, and CD8⁺ cells. We found that sirtinol (200 $\mu M)$ $_{387}$



Fig. 5. Expression of histone H3K9ac and H3K9me2 in MS patients and controls. Acetylation and methylation of histone H3 at lysine 9 was assessed by Western blotting using specific antibodies against H3K9ac and H3K9me2. (A). An example of a Western blot relevant to the expression of histone H3K9ac (upper panel). Higher levels of H3K9ac were found during relapse (p < 0.05). Results are expressed as ratios to beta-actin (lower panel). (B) An example of a Western blot relevant to the expression of histone H3K9me2 (upper panel). Levels of H3K9me2 in MS patients did not differ significantly from those in controls. Results are expressed as ratios to beta-actin (lower panel). (C, D) Correlation of SIRT1 with histone H3K9ac and H3K9me2 (R = 0.716, p = 0.0008) (D).

ARTICLE IN PRESS

induced binding of Annexin V in 65% of the Jurkat cells (as compared to 388 389 6% of the control cells exposed to vehicle [DMSO]). Similarly increased apoptosis as seen when CD4 cells (6% in control cells vs 55% in the MS 390 391 patient) and CD8 cells (22% in control cells vs 81% in the MS patient) were exposed to sirtinol for 24 h (Fig. 7). In order to investigate the ef-392 fect of SIRT1 inhibition on FasL expression, we used the PBMCs from sta-393 ble MS patients. We found that sirtinol (200 µM) significantly inhibited 394the expression of SIRT1 mRNA (by 50%, data not shown) and significant-395 396 ly increased FasL expression (Fig. 8), suggesting a role for SIRT1 in the 397 expression of FasL.

398 Discussion

The aim of our study was to evaluate the expression of SIRT1 and the 399 role it plays in MS. Using immunohistochemical staining, we were able 400 to show that inflammatory cells (T cells and macrophages), OLG, and as-401 trocytes all express SIRT1 in the MS brain. The expression of SIRT1 was 402 not confined to the MS plagues but was also present in NAWM and 403 NAGM areas, indicating a widespread distribution of cells expressing 404 SIRT1. It is important to note that most of the OLGs in the MS brain 405 expressed SIRT1, including those that survived in areas with significant 406 407 demyelination (Fig. 3). These data clearly suggest that SIRT1 is important for OLG survival in an inflammatory milieu and are in agreement 408 with previous reports of increased OLG survival (Nimmagadda et al., 409 2013). Recent EAE studies in a SIRT1 transgenic mouse model have pro-410 vided further evidence supporting the beneficial effects of SIRT1 on 411 412 myelin-forming OLG in the EAE spinal cord. The enhanced MBP expression and greater myelin staining by LFB in the spinal cords of SIRT1 EAE 413 mice when compared to wild-type EAE mice suggest that SIRT1 protects 414 OLG from undergoing cell death (Nimmagadda et al., 2013). 415

To gain more insight into the role played by SIRT1 in inflammatory 416 417 cells, we then examined the expression of SIRT1 mRNA in PBMCs, in re-418 lation to disease activity in MS patients. We performed this analysis in unstimulated PBMCs in order to mimic the in vivo situation as closely 419as possible (Lopatinskaya et al., 2003). We have now demonstrated 420 that the levels of SIRT1 are significantly decreased in the PBMCs of MS 421patients with relapses when compared to those of healthy controls. 422 The changes in SIRT1 mRNA expression levels that occurred in the 423 PBMCs from MS patients during relapses were positively correlated 424 with those of histone H3K9ac and histone H3K9me2. In addition, his-425tone H3K9ac was found to be increased in the PBMCs of patients with 426 relapses, consistent with their low levels of SIRT1. These data suggest 427 that low levels of SIRT1 can be used a possible biomarker of disease ac-428 tivity in MS patients. In addition, the low levels of SIRT1 and loss of 429 SIRT1 function in experimental models have been found to result in ab-430 431 normally increased T-cell activation and a breakdown of CD4⁺ T-cell tolerance (Zhang et al., 2009). SIRT1-deficient mice are also unable to 432



Fig. 8. Effect of SIRT 1 inhibition on FasL expression. PBMCs from a stable MS patient (A, C) were pretreated with the SIRT1 inhibitor sirtinol (200 μ M) for 24 h (B, D), and expression of FasL was determined by FACS analysis. A significant increase in FasL expression in both CD4⁺ (B) and CD8⁺ (D) cells is seen after treatment with the SIRT1 inhibitor.

maintain T-cell tolerance and develop severe EAE as well as spontane- 433 ous autoimmunity (Zhang et al., 2009). 434

To further investigate the factors that might regulate SIRT1 expres- 435 sion, we asked whether RGC-32 might be involved in SIRT1 expression. 436 We found that silencing of RGC-32 in PBMCs led to a significant de- 437 crease in SIRT1 expression. These data suggest that the expression of 438 SIRT1 is regulated by RGC-32, and they confirm our previous observa- 439 tions in cancer cell lines (Vlaicu et al., 2010, 2013). This finding is impor-440 tant because RGC-32 was found to promote cell cycle activation and 441 survival through the activation of the cdc2/cyclinB1 complex (Badea 442 et al., 1998, 2002), which also phosphorylates and activates SIRT1 443 (Sasaki et al., 2008). These new data suggest that regulation of SIRT1 ex- 444 pression is an additional mechanism by which RGC-32 promotes surviv- 445 al. It is also entirely possible that the low levels of RGC-32 expression 446 seen in the PBMCs of MS patients with relapses are responsible for the 447 reduction in SIRT1 expression seen during the active phase of the 448 disease 449

To further investigate the relationship between SIRT1 and T-cell sur- 450 vival, we examined the effect of the SIRT1 inhibitor sirtinol on apoptosis 451 and FasL expression. We found that the expression of FasL was 452



Fig. 7. Effect of SIRT 1 inhibition on annexin V binding. Jurkat cells (A) and CD4⁺ (B) and CD8⁺ (C) cells from an MS patient were pretreated with the SIRT1 inhibitor sirtuin (200 µM) for 24 h, and Annexin V FITC binding was determined by FACS analysis. Overlay histograms are shown for untreated control cells (blue line) and sirtinol treated cells (red line). As expected, cells that were treated with the vehicle (DMSO) were primarily Annexin V negative. The small number of Annexin V negative cells in control cells represents the basal level of apoptosis. A significant increase in Annexin V binding was found in all studied cells after 24 h of incubation with sirtinol for 24 h. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

ARTICLE IN PRESS

C.A. Tegla et al. / Experimental and Molecular Pathology xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

significantly increased, indicating that FasL expression is, at least in part, 453 SIRT1-dependent. In addition, inhibition of SIRT1 leads to significant ap-454optosis in Jurkat cells and in CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ from patients with MS. Our 455 456results showing a decreased level of SIRT1 in MS patients with relapses are in agreement with recent data obtained in EAE, an animal model of 457MS. These experiments showed that administration of resveratrol, a 458SIRT1 activator, ameliorates the disease course in EAE (Nimmagadda 459et al., 2013). In addition, resveratrol was found to trigger apoptosis in 460 461 activated T cells and also to induce a decrease in spinal cord inflammation during EAE (Singh et al., 2007). In addition, resveratrol was found to 462 463 be neuroprotective (Shindler et al., 2010), and the mechanism for its immunomodulatory and neuroprotective effects appears to involve 464 465the activation of SIRT1 (Singh et al., 2007).

466 We should mention that we did not find a significant difference in the expression of HDAC3 between patients with MS and controls. This 467 finding is in contrast to previous published data that had showed an in-468 469 crease in HDAC3 expression in MS patients (Zhang et al., 2011). This difference in the results obtained can probably be explained by differences 470 in the way MS patients were selected for these two studies. We have 471 studied only patients with relapsing-remitting MS. In the previously 472published study, patients with both relapsing-remitting and secondary 473 progressive MS were included (Zhang et al., 2011). In addition, we have 474 475 separated relapsing-remitting MS patients into two groups on the basis 476 of disease activity.

Finally, we can conclude that SIRT1 represents a possible biomarker
of relapses and a potential new target for therapeutic intervention in
MS. The use of resveratrol or other activators of SIRT1 should be considered in future clinical trials in order to investigate their potential for
preventing relapses and promoting OLG survival.

482 Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx. 483 doi.org/10.1016/j.yexmp.2013.12.010.

484 Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Deborah McClellan for editing this manuscript. This
work was supported in part by a Pilot Project PP 1422 from the MS
Society (to H.R.) and a Veterans Administration Merit Award (to H.R.).
The MS brain samples were obtained from the National Neurological
Research Specimen Bank (West Los Angeles Veterans Administration
Hospital, Los Angeles, CA).

491 References

- Badea, T., et al., 2002. RGC-32 increases p34CDC2 kinase activity and entry of aortic
 smooth muscle cells into S-phase. J Biol Chem. 277, 502–508.
- Badea, T.C., et al., 1998. Molecular cloning and characterization of RGC-32, a novel gene induced by complement activation in oligodendrocytes. J Biol Chem. 273, 26977–26981.
 Baur, J.A., 2010. Biochemical effects of SIRT1 activators. Biochim Biophys Acta 1804,
- Baun, Ja., 2010. Distributed in circles of Shift Factivators. Biochim Biophys retail 1004, 1626–1634.
 Bouras, T., et al., 2005. SIRT1 deacetylation and repression of p300 involves lysine residues
- 1020/1024 within the cell cycle regulatory domain 1. J Biol Chem. 280, 10264–10276.
 Brunet, A., et al., 2004. Stress-dependent regulation of FOXO transcription factors by the SIRT1 deacetylase. Science, 303, 2011–2015.
- Camelo, S., et al., 2005. Transcriptional therapy with the histone deacetylase inhibitor trichostatin A ameliorates experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis.
 I Neuroimmunol. 164, 10–21.
- 505 Chen, D., et al., 2008. Tissue-specific regulation of SIRT1 by calorie restriction. Genes Dev. 506 22, 1753–1757.
- 507 Compston, A., Coles, A., 2008. Multiple sclerosis. Lancet. 372, 1502–1517.
- 508 Costantino, C.M., et al., 2008. Multiple sclerosis and regulatory T cells. J Clin Immunol. 28, 509 697–706.
- 510 Cudrici, C., et al., 2007. Dendritic cells are abundant in non-lesional gray matter in 511 multiple sclerosis. Exp Mol Pathol. 83, 198–206.
- 512 Fonseca-Kelly, Z., et al., 2012. Resveratrol neuroprotection in a chronic mouse model of 513 multiple sclerosis. Front Neurol. 3, 84.
- Fosbrink, M., et al., 2005. Overexpression of RGC-32 in colon cancer and other tumors. Exp
 Mol Pathol. 78, 116–122.
- Frohman, E.M., et al., 2006. Multiple sclerosis the plaque and its pathogenesis. New Engl
 J Med. 354, 942–955.
- 518 Guarente, L., 2011. Franklin H. Epstein lecture: sirtuins, aging, and medicine. N Engl J Med
 519 364, 2235–2244.
- He, W., et al., 2012. Mitochondrial sirtuins: regulators of protein acylation and metabolism. 520 Trends Endocrinol Metab. 23, 467-476. 521 Imai, S., et al., 2000. Transcriptional silencing and longevity protein Sir2 is an NAD-522dependent histone deacetylase. Nature. 403, 795-800. 523 Imler, T.I., Petro, T.M., 2009, Decreased severity of experimental autoimmune encephalomy-524elitis during resveratrol administration is associated with increased IL-17 + IL-10 + T 525 cells, CD4 – IFN- γ + cells, and decreased macrophage IL-6 expression. Int 526 Immunopharmacol. 9, 134-143. 527Keegan B.M. Noseworthy I.H. 2002. Multiple sclerosis Appu Rev. Med. 53, 285–302. 528Koch, M.W., et al., 2013a. Epigenetic changes in patients with multiple sclerosis. Nat Rev 529 Neurol, 9, 35-43. 530 Koch, M.W., et al., 2013b. Epigenetics and miRNAs in the diagnosis and treatment of 531 multiple sclerosis. Trends Mol Med. 19, 23-30. 532Kurtzke, J.F., 1983. Rating neurologic impairment in multiple sclerosis: an expanded 533 disability status scale (EDSS). Neurology. 33, 1444-1452. 534Lopatinskaya, L., et al., 2003. The development of clinical activity in relapsing-remitting 535 MS is associated with a decrease of FasL mRNA and an increase of Fas mRNA in 536 peripheral blood, I Neuroimmunol, 138, 123-131. 537 Luo, J., et al., 2000. Deacetylation of p53 modulates its effect on cell growth and apoptosis. 538 Nature. 408, 377-381. 539Martinez-Pasamar, S., et al., 2013. Dynamic cross-regulation of antigen-specific effector 540 and regulatory T cell subpopulations and microglia in brain autoimmunity. BMC 541Svst Biol. 7, 34 542McDonald, W.I., et al., 2001. Recommended diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 543 guidelines from the International Panel on the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis. Ann 544 Neurol. 50. 121-127 545 Motta, M.C., et al., 2004. Mammalian SIRT1 represses forkhead transcription factors. Cell. 546 116. 551-563. 547Niculescu, F., et al., 1997. Activation of Ras and mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway 548 by terminal complement complexes is G protein dependent. J Immunol. 158, 5494405-4412 550Nimmagadda, V.K., et al., 2013. Overexpression of SIRT1 protein in neurons protects 551 against experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis through activation of multiple 552 SIRT1 targets. J Immunol. 190, 4595-4607. 553Penberthy, W.T., Tsunoda, I., 2009. The importance of NAD in multiple sclerosis. 554Curr Pharm Des. 15, 64-99. 555Petro, T.M., 2011. Regulatory role of resveratrol on Th17 in autoimmune disease. 556 557 Int Immunopharmacol. 11, 310-318. Polman, C.H., et al., 2005. Diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 2005 revisions to the 558 McDonald Criteria". Ann Neurol. 58, 840-846. 559Rus, H.G., et al., 1996a. Sublytic complement attack induces cell cycle in oligodendrocytes. 560 J Immunol. 156, 4892-4900. 561Rus, H.G., et al., 1996b. Interleukin-6 and interleukin-8 protein and gene expression in 562 human arterial atherosclerotic wall. Atherosclerosis. 127, 263-271. 563Sasaki, T., et al., 2008. Phosphorylation regulates SIRT1 function. PLoS One. 3, e4020. 564Sengupta, N., Seto, E., 2004. Regulation of histone deacetylase activities. J Cell Biochem. 93, 565 57-67. 566 Shindler, K.S., et al., 2010. Oral resveratrol reduces neuronal damage in a model of multiple 567 sclerosis. J Neuroophthalmol. 30, 328-339. 568 Singh, N.P., et al., 2007. Resveratrol (trans-3,5,4'-Trihydroxystilbene) ameliorates 569 experimental allergic encephalomyelitis. Primarily via induction of apoptosis in 570 t cells involving activation of aryl hydrocarbon receptor and estrogen receptor. 571 Mol Pharmacol. 72, 1508-1521. 572Smith, J.S., et al., 2000. A phylogenetically conserved NAD⁺-dependent protein 573 deacetylase activity in the Sir2 protein family. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 97, 574 6658-6663 Tegla, C.A., et al., 2013. Dual role of Response gene to complement-32 in multiple sclerosis. 576Exp Mol Pathol. 94, 17-28. 577 Turner, B.M., 1998. Histone acetylation as an epigenetic determinant of long-term 578 transcriptional competence. Cell Mol Life Sci. 54, 21-31. 579Vaquero, A., et al., 2007. SIRT1 regulates the histone methyl-transferase SUV39H1 during 580 heterochromatin formation. Nature. 450, 440-444. 581 Vaquero, A., et al., 2004. Human SirT1 interacts with histone H1 and promotes formation 582 of facultative heterochromatin. Mol Cell. 16, 93-105. 583Vlaicu, S.I., et al., 2013. Role of C5b-9 complement complex and response gene to 584 complement-32 (RGC-32) in cancer. Immunol Res. 56, 109-121. 585Vlaicu, S.I., et al., 2010. Epigenetic modifications induced by RGC-32 in colon cancer. Exp 586 Mol Pathol. 88, 67-76. 587Wang, C., et al., 2013. Visualizing epigenetics: current advances and advantages in HDAC 588 589PET imaging techniques. Neuroscience. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroscience. 2013.09.018. 590Wang, P., et al., 2011, Nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase protects against ischemic 591 stroke through SIRT1-dependent adenosine monophosphate-activated kinase 592 593pathway, Ann Neurol, 69, 360-374 Yeung, F., et al., 2004. Modulation of NF-kappaB-dependent transcription and cell survival 594 by the SIRT1 deacetylase, EMBO J. 23, 2369-2380. 595Zhang, F., et al., 2011, Role of HDAC3 on p53 expression and apoptosis in T cells of patients 596 with multiple sclerosis. PLoS One. 6, e16795. 597 Zhang, J., et al., 2009. The type III histone deacetylase Sirt1 is essential for maintenance of 598 T cell tolerance in mice. J Clin Invest. 119, 3048-3058. 599Zhang, T., Kraus, W.L., 2010, SIRT1-dependent regulation of chromatin and transcription: 600
- Linking NAD⁺ metabolism and signaling to the control of cellular functions. Biochine 601 Biophys Acta 1804, 1666–1675. 602 Zhang, X., et al., 2005. Histone deacetylase 3 (HDAC3) activity is regulated by interaction 603
- with protein serine/threonine phosphatase 4. Genes Dev. 19, 827–839. 604

605

Please cite this article as: Tegla, C.A., et al., SIRT1 is decreased during relapses in patients with multiple sclerosis, Exp. Mol. Pathol. (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yexmp.2013.12.010

9